## Phasing Out Hold Harmless with Basic Allotment Increase in Out Years: 3/14/2011

State Impact Summary	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Model #3 - Proportional reduction to Target	Revenue to lower	hold harmless				and a grown to the state of the
Annual Cost/(Savings) Biennial Total	(\$1,500,964,069)	(\$2,499,619,815) (\$4,000,583,884)	(\$1,979,584,343)	(\$2,036,164,806) (\$4,015,749,149)	(\$2,101,103,836)	(\$2,191,503,296) (\$4,292,607,132)
Hybrid Model - 3/4 Model 3 and 1/4 formula Annual Cost/(Savings) Biennial Total	reduction (\$1,502,759,765)	(\$2,499,810,044) (\$4,002,569,809)	(\$1,109,500,584)	(\$1,214,809,802) (\$2,324,310,386)	(\$1,339,929,867)	(\$1,538,692,049) (\$2,878,621,916)
Model Descriptions	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY.2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Model #3 - Proportional reduction to Target Revenue	to lower hold harmi	less		(Formula Changes FY	14-FY17 to Meet 85% Goal ar	e shown in boldface type)
Minimum Revenue HH % Retained Copper Penny Yield Copper Penny Equalized Wealth Level	93.495% \$31.95 \$319,500	0.000% \$31.95 \$319,500	0.000% \$47.65 \$476,500	0.000% \$47.65 \$476,500	0.000% \$47.65 \$476,500	0.000% \$47.65 \$476,500
Hybrid Model - 3/4 Model 3 and 1/4 formula reduction						
Regular Program Allotment Adjustment Gain Limit / Dragback §42.008 Supplemt Conversion Aid §42.2513 Minimum Revenue HH % Retained Basic Allotment Compressed Tier Equalized Wealth Level Copper Penny Yield	0.98980 repealed repealed 95.55% \$4,765 \$476,500 \$31.95	0.97995 repealed repealed 89.35% \$4,765 \$476,500 \$31.95	0.000 repealed repealed 87.75% \$4,875 \$487,500 \$48.75	0.000 repealed repealed 86.00% \$4,875 \$487,500 \$48.75	0.000 repealed repealed 82.75% \$4,875 \$487,500 \$48.75	0.000 repealed repealed 0.00% \$4,875 \$487,500 \$48.75
Copper Penny Equalized Wealth Level	\$319,500	\$319,500	\$487,500	\$487,500	\$487,500	\$487,500
Target Revenue Hold Harmless Comparison	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Current Law - School Districts & Charter Schools	\$3,023,287,942	\$2,499,619,815	\$2,189,513,153	\$2,249,793,582	\$2,318,629,345	\$2,415,733,383
Model #3 Proportional Reduction Target Revenue Hybrid Model - 3/4 Model 3 and 1/4 Formula	\$1,522,323,873 \$1,897,239,196	\$0 \$625,451,928	\$0 \$271,373,764	\$0 \$212,436,686	\$0 \$139,702,740	\$0 \$0
Districts and Charters on Formula	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Current Law	127	192	309	313	316	316
Model #3 Proportional Reduction Target Revenue	491	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231
Hybrid Model - 3/4 Model 3 and 1/4 Formula	379	879	1,009	1,046	1,102	1,231
% of districts/charters on formula % of WADA in districts/charters on formula	31% 41%	71% 71%	82% 88%	85% 90%	90% 95%	100% 100%

## Phasing Out Hold Harmless with Basic Allotment Increase in Out Years: 3/14/2011

	Savings of \$1.5	B then \$2.5B	Formula Adjus	ments to Ensure 8	5% Students in Equa	lized System
Percent of Students in Equalized System	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Model #3 - Proportional reduction to Target Revenue to lov	wer hold harmless					
Compressed Tier (excluding hold harmless)	50.9%	93.1%	93.2%	92.9%	92.2%	87.9%
Enrichment - Austin ISD Yield \$59.97	96.9%	97.6%	97.5%	97.1%	97.0%	96.7%
Enrichment - Current Law Yield \$31.95	69.5%	72.6%	93.2%	92.9%	92.2%	87.9%
Hybrid Model - 3/4 Model 3 and 1/4 formula reduction						
Compressed Tier (excluding hold harmless)	41.7%	68.7%	85.3%	86.7%	88.8%	92.1%
Enrichment - Austin ISD Yield \$59.97	96.9%	<b>97.2%</b> _	97.5%	97.1%	97.0%	96.7%
Enrichment - Current Law Yield \$31.95	68.3%	72.1%	93.2%	93.0%	92.3%	92.1%

Reducti	on per WADA by Wealth Category						
Wealth	- 2009-10	FY 201 Model #3	2 Hybrid Model	FY 201 Model #3	3 Hybrid Model	FY 201 Model #3	४ Hybrid Mode
124	Under \$100,000 per WADA	(\$63)	(\$117)	(\$69)	(\$163)	\$25	\$121
189	\$100,000 - \$149,999 per WADA	(\$149)	(\$182)	(\$132)	(\$224)	(\$57)	\$38
157	\$150,000 - \$199,999 per WADA	(\$147)	(\$187)	(\$135)	(\$225)	(\$75)	\$19
260	\$200,000 - \$319,499 per WADA	(\$222)	(\$238)	(\$181)	(\$274)	(\$100)	(\$2)
137	\$319,500 - \$476,500 per WADA	(\$368)	(\$316)	(\$800)	(\$685)	(\$688)	(\$526)
157	Districts Subject to Recapture	(\$404)	(\$347)	(\$1,214)	(\$768)	(\$1,096)	(\$701)
1,024	STATE TOTAL	(\$239)	(\$241)	(\$395)	(\$392)	(\$307)	(\$170)
		FY 201	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	FY 201	6	FY201	7
		Model #3	Hybrid Model	Model #3	Hybrid Model	Model #3	Hybrid Model
124	Under \$100,000 per WADA	\$25	\$120	\$26	\$119	\$26	\$118
189	\$100,000 - \$149,999 per WADA	(\$57)	\$36	(\$53)	\$39	(\$59)	\$33
157	\$150,000 - \$199,999 per WADA	(\$77)	\$16	(\$78)	\$14	(\$79)	\$12
260	\$200,000 - \$319,499 per WADA	(\$102)	(\$5)	(\$103)	(\$7)	(\$104)	(\$9)
37	\$319,500 - \$476,500 per WADA	(\$698)	(\$550)	(\$712)	(\$582)	(\$737)	(\$630)
57	Districts Subject to Recapture	(\$1,095)	(\$773)	(\$1,100)	(\$861)	(\$1,109)	(\$1,021)
1,024	STATE TOTAL	(\$311)	(\$184)	(\$315)	(\$200)	(\$324)	(\$228)

Phasing Out Hold Harmless with Basic Allotment Increase in Out Years: 3/14/2011

Number of Districts by Total M&O.	Revenue Per WADA C	ategory								
	<u>용당</u> (연합) (연합)		FY 2012			FY 2013			FY 2014	14. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15
Total M&O Revenue per WADA		Current Law	Model #3	Hybrid	Current Law	Model #3	Hybrid	Current Law	Model #3	Hybr
	\$4,500 or less	0	1	1	0	10	5.	0.	. <b>5</b>	
	\$4,501 - \$5,000	14	48	50	. 11	81	86	7	35	
	\$5,001 - \$5,500	358	535	543	340	668	594	325	632	4
	\$5,501 - \$6,500	527	366	348	546	248	286	563	327	4
	\$6,501 - \$7,500	. 81	43	49	82	11	31	83	18	
	\$7,501 - \$8,500	23	15	17	24	2	10	24	7	
en de la vez de la companya de la c La companya de la co	> \$8,500	26	21	21	26	9	17	27	10	
					-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			FY 2015			FY 2016			FY 2017	
		Current Law	Model #3	Hybrid	Current Law	Model #3	Hybrid	Current Law	Model #3	Hyt
	\$4,500 or less	0	5	1	0	4	3	0	5	
	\$4,501 - \$5,000	8	37	10	. 8	39	10	7	42	
	\$5,001 - \$5,500	321	624	484	319	621	498	317	607	
	\$5,501 - \$6,500	565	331	477	566	333	470	568	340	
	\$6,501 - \$7,500	83	20	29	85	16	24	87	18	
	\$7,501 - \$8,500	25	2	10	24	6	9	20	6	
	> \$8,500	27	10	18	27	10	15	30	11	